

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

could be the...anyone who works for a police department Employer-Employee Relations Act. Do you see what I'm trying to say?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh-huh, and as I look at it, I'm getting even more confused by the language in the definition.

SENATOR BOURNE: Well, thank you, Senator Chambers. This is where I'm at. Do I believe that we should have a bill such as LB 304 on the books? Most...I do. I do believe that. I think there should be some protections afforded to those people, as Senator Landis indicated, at the bottom of the hierarchy. But again, I have some objections to how this is drafted. And again, I don't believe that this is Senator Synowiecki's fault. I don't think that he drafted this. But there are problem here and I'm struggling as to what to do. And let me ask Senator Brashear a question, if he would yield.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Brashear, to a question?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Brashear, I was...I worked with you on the Judiciary Committee for several years and, I'll be honest with you, I was very surprised that this bill did not have a committee amendment. Because generally, bills that are referred to the Judiciary Committee are put through the ringer, put through the mill, and when they come out it's very difficult to criticize the language in them, in those bills. Can you indicate why there was not a committee amendment on this, if you recall?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, Senator Bourne, I hate to admit on the public record that I didn't recall, but I have investigated the facts and so, having refreshed my memory, I can now recall. The first time the bill came out of committee, there was in fact an understanding,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.