

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

earlier talk, what...but I wasn't very clear with the language...what happens if somebody has to leave the interrogation? This requires them to tell the peace officer everyone who is doing the interrogation. If somebody leaves and somebody new comes along, it's unclear. A new 24-hour notice has to be implemented, as far as I'm concerned. Going down a little further, subsection (c)(4) (sic--subsection (4)), "A peace officer shall be informed of the nature of the investigation and shall receive a copy of the written formal complaint against him or her at least twenty-four hours prior to his or her interrogation by the employer." And again, what if during the investigation the nature of the complaint changes? It's unclear as to what happens then. Okay, we were investigating this individual for that, and all of a sudden this comes up, a totally unrelated, newly discovered investigable piece. Then what? So you have to cease the investigation, the person goes home, and you have a new 24-hour period, and then you have to do the...going back up to (3)(a), you have to notify the individual 24 hours in advance of everybody who is going to interrogate them. I just see it as complicated and complex. I don't know how this bill is even doable. Again, there's language on page 4 that I'm concerned with. I'm not a criminal attorney. I'm going to ask Senator Chambers a question about this. They seem to give protection to people. It says, the combined duration of a peace officer's work shift and any interrogation session shall not exceed 14 hours within a 24-hour period, again, unless the urgency of the investigation continues otherwise. "Urgency" is vague. That's an absolute violation of statute construction. I don't even know what that means. And I guess what I want to know is, do we afford other defendants limits on this? Do they only get 14 hours in a 24-hour period of interrogation? There's another one: "There shall be no more than two interrogators at any given time." Is that realistic? Are other defendants afforded such a thing? "A peace officer shall be allowed time to attend to physical necessities." That makes sense, but again, I don't know if other criminal defendants or other people being interrogated get that. And again, I want to remind you that under the definition in this bill and the definition in the surprise amendment, a peace officer is everyone who works for a political subdivision in charge of law enforcement--clerks, janitors. Everybody that