

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

agencies, and they...a police officer worries about who they will arrest, whether they arrest a relative of a council member or a mayor, and may end up losing your job over something arbitrary, and making sure that you have a...it's some baseline for support for these law enforcement people out in rural communities and small towns, so that there's a floor on procedures. Most of these procedures are in place, in places like Fremont or in places like Omaha, already. And so it really doesn't affect them. If a collective bargaining unit is in place, it doesn't affect that. What you worry about is those people who are doing their job and being treated unfairly by an administration. It...whether this floor is at the right level, I'm not sure. But I think that we want to make sure that there's a base of support for these law enforcement personnel out there in the field. We do give support and due process to teachers, to other public employees. We want to make sure that we support these law enforcement professionals out in our communities. And I support this bill, and oppose the recommit to committee.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I want to start off by saying that Senator Synowiecki apologized to us this morning for the lateness of this; he doesn't owe us an apology at all, in my opinion. I think the lobbyist that's pushing the bill, however, does owe us an apology. I want to kind of continue what my problems are with the language in the green copy. And maybe the next time I'm able to speak I'm going to talk to Senator Brashear. As you notice, there's not a committee amendment on the bill. And if you recommit it to committee, I want some sort of answer as to whether or not the committee is going to work on it and perhaps refer it out again. So the next time I talk, I'll speak to that. But I want to get through these language problems, as I see it. In Section 4(3)(a)...and again, I haven't had a chance to read the surprise amendment. I don't know if anybody else has, but I have not. Section 3...excuse me, Section 4, sub (3)(a), "The peace officer shall be informed of the name and rank of the person in charge of the interrogation and all other persons who will be present during the interrogation." I mentioned in an