

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

the question I'm trying to clarify.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Well, access to other civil...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I mean,...

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...other civil leverages that...violations that are outside the scope of the bill, as well. From my reading of it,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, if it's outside of the scope of the bill, we needn't worry about it. I'm trying to figure out what are all the remedies available if you violate this act.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Beutler, it's my understanding that, yes, it would be Section 10, exclusive to the bill would be the avenue of remedy for the police officer.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So that would be their sole avenue of remedy? That's your intent?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: That's my understanding.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Then let me further inquire with regard to that avenue of remedy. It says that they are...would be able to collect monetary damages. If they happen to lose their job as a result of the investigation, would some kind of damages relating to not having the job anymore be part of the money damages that they could recover?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: I suspect that would be with the discretion of the court, in terms of amount of monetary damages. And if, for an example, hypothetical police officer does lose his job and it was found in...and there was substantive violation of the act, I suspect then, Senator, that the court could take that into account.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But in your opinion, it would require a substantial violation of the act, as you just said? Is that what you're intending?