

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

And since there's only a minute left, I won't even pose the question now, because you wouldn't be able to answer it. But I'm going to put my light on, and I will engage in some dialog with you. But I'm not going to withdraw that motion. But if you vote it down, I am going to move to reconsider, and do everything in the rule book I can to delay this bill, and take as much time as I can, because he can't get 33 votes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler on the motion to recommit.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Synowiecki, if I may, let me again explore the third of the three questions that were important to me, the question of remedies and what's intended and what's applied. That Section 10 that was cited by Senator Bourne, it doesn't say that that's an exclusive remedy, that that would be the only remedy. But is that your intent, that that would be the only remedy for a violation of this act?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Synowiecki, would you respond?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Yes. It simply provides some teeth to what is proposed in the bill, that a police officer would have some avenue of relief should there be a violation of this act in specific. As I understand it, they would have additional privileges under civil law, I suspect, Senator. And I...not being...not a lawyer, I wouldn't know what exactly what they are. But essentially, Section 10...Section 10 actually was not in there in the original copy of this bill some years back. And it was put in over the years to...as a suggestion, I believe, that came from the Judiciary Committee, so that there would be some teeth, and it would have motivation for the administrations to follow the outline of the bill.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, I appreciate that. But you did say something that confuses me once again, though. If you say that this is the exclusive remedy, then this is the only way that you enforce this act, whatever happens that gives you compensation for a violation of the act happens under this provision. But you also said something to the effect, well, they would have access to other civil remedies. Well, that's