

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2004 LB 304

amendment. I have read the green copy, and I'm going to go through some of my problems with the green copy, and then as we go through this debate I'm going to compare them and make sure that those questions or concerns are addressed. I look at the gadget and I see Senator Chambers has a number of amendments filed. I don't know what his agenda is, other than stop the bill. My agenda is to prevent poorly drafted legislation from getting in statute. And so I would probably vote for this bill if it's drafted properly. The green copy is not. So let me kind of go through some of my concerns. And particularly the attorneys in the body should pay attention to this, I think, or if they hadn't read it, or haven't read it, they probably should. I think any attorney in here, actually any person in here that's read the bill, should be concerned, because there are problems here. It talks about, in Section 3 of the green copy--and again, I'm going to go through the green copy, even though I recognize there has been a rewrite, a surprise rewrite at that, and again, not at Senator Synowiecki's fault at all. It talks about, in Section 3, "For purposes of the Peace Officer Employer-Employee Relations Act, peace officer means any employee of a police or sheriff's department that is part of or administered by any political subdivision of the state who is responsible for the prevention and detection of crime and the enforcement of the laws of the state." I read this to say that the bill should actually be changed to employees of a police department employer-employee relations act, because this bill, in my opinion, would apply to anybody that worked for a police department, not just police officers. It would apply to janitors, it would apply to the clerical staff, it would apply for everyone because of how this is worded. And if that's the intent of the language, then that's okay. But again, the way this is drafted, it says that any employee of a police or sheriff's department, and then here's the key, or any political subdivision who is responsible for. So it's not saying that the peace officer is responsible for the prevention and detection of crime; it's saying the political subdivision is. So every employee of a police department would be covered under this bill. Now, I don't know if that definition is changed in the surprise amendment. But in the green copy, every employee of the police department is covered. Now, maybe that's the intent. I don't know. But given that it's called the Peace