

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 22, 2004 LB 602

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, if that is done, everything that this bill prohibits would not apply to using such a diploid cell and doing all these other things. That would not be against the law, would it?

SENATOR SMITH: Well, I've argued all along that this bill does not ban nearly as much as folks would think it would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Here's what I'm...that...what I'm describing would not be against the law, would it?

SENATOR SMITH: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It is not against the law to use cells from animals other than humans and put it through this process. You could have this, what do you call it, nuclear what, when you're removing something from the cell? What is that called?

SENATOR SMITH: Nuclear transfer?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So by the process of nuclear transfer, you could remove material from the cell, the egg cell, or deactivate it, and insert animal material...

SPEAKER BRONN PRESIDING

SPEAKER BRONN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and that would not be a violation of the law, would it?

SENATOR SMITH: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if something resulted that grew, that would not be a human embryo, would it?

SENATOR SMITH: Be some pretty messed up research, I'd say.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But it would not be a human embryo, would it?

SENATOR SMITH: Not a human embryo.