

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

the time frame.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Under the contract that they've entered, they have obliged themselves to do certain acts by a certain date based on the existing law.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that true?

SENATOR ERDMAN: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if this language is adopted, they would have to produce that much sooner or would have to produce more by that date, if you know?

SENATOR ERDMAN: I believe actually their contract would...it's not so much the total production. I believe their contract signed into is production of at least 8,300 gallons, so I don't think that's the issue. It's mainly the changing of definitions and how that process works and then it being retroactive to the contract they signed. I don't know exactly the full details of their contract. I don't have that before me, but...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...just from what they have shared with me as their concern.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's fair enough, I wouldn't expect you to know the details. But Senator Beutler did point out that you would have to determine...let me not say what Senator Beutler said. Let me just make my statement because I don't want to incorrectly, through paraphrase, misrepresent his position. It's not enough to say that words in a contract have been altered. A contract is an agreement between at least two parties. There must be what they call consideration. There must be a meeting of the minds and there must be reliance placed on what the contract requires and what the contract offers in exchange...