

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: And part of that argument was because when that money stays in Nebraska, it turns over in Nebraska. It's better spent in Nebraska because it multiplies and turns over, and I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That was not my argument.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, it was a lot...an argument of many.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well,...

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: And I think you would agree with that. It was okay there but it is not okay here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That was not my argument.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Well, I'm sorry if I mischaracterized you that way, but I think that's a major reason why I supported that amendment, and that's why I support ethanol. I think when the money turns over, when it keeps turning over, that does wonderful things in Nebraska. Yesterday, you were quizzing Senator Wehrbein on if ethanol would pay for itself; on whether the cost of producing ethanol was more than the actual...what the ethanol brought in. And what was the number he gave you, a dollar...for every dollar you spent, you got \$1.28 back or something of that nature?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A hundred and twenty-eight percent energy produced from ethanol over the amount of energy it takes to produce it.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: But wouldn't you agree, or maybe you don't, but from my standpoint it would seem to me that every little bit that we can have less reliance on foreign oil we're better off.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I don't...

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I would let you respond to that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't accept Senator Wehrbein's figure, and