

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

a second job?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so what does it mean?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Ah,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let's....

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: ...53 points of the 73.4 secondary jobs, 53.6 of those were indirect jobs and 19.8 induced jobs.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what are those indirect jobs, what does that mean?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I would assume that it has to do with people from a, like, trucking industry would be an example, people hauling product in and out of the ethanol plant. That would just be one example of indirect jobs.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And somebody who may sell clothing to the truck driver would be an indirect benefit that could be added into that amount also, isn't that true?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: It's possible. I don't know that they went that far in this study, but I suppose that's possible.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator Cunningham, one thing we both know. We're not talking about \$46 million actual dollars, are we?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I think we are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, they're talking about estimating and by some particular model and using multipliers, which means you're not talking about actual cash.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, I think many times when you argue items on the floor and we talk about the IMPLAN model, you use that as an example of what we should do.