

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

Daniels Midland. I know they have big ethanol plants in other states. As a matter of fact, two states produce more ethanol than we do, and that's Iowa and Illinois.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the subsidies that we're giving and the increased subsidies we're being asked to give are going to these huge multinational operations? Is that true?

SENATOR SCHROCK: In the case of those two, that is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And they need Nebraska's assistance in this way or they will tear down their plants and take them someplace else; they will disassemble them as High Plains did from Louisiana and move them back to Louisiana perhaps?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, I think the bigger question is, they probably wouldn't have built in Nebraska to start with.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But they're..

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...here now.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think they're here now, and I think they're going to stay. And when their subsidies run out, I think they're still going to stay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then you're not in favor of increasing subsidies to them, or extending the amount of time during which they can obtain these subsidies?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Not to the current plants, but I am for new plants.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Even if they're put here by these big operations?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I would be in favor of that. But the new plants that are being proposed are not owned by the multinational corporations. At the present time, there's no