

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

SENATOR SCHROCK: I presume to say that if they weren't selling corn to the ethanol plants, they could sell corn to our cattle feeders and our pork producers. One way or the other, the corn is going to go to where the market is the highest, and that's what's going to happen.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You keep saying that. But you still will not tell me how, if I produce corn in Nebraska and Senator Beutler produces it in Iowa, I'm going to be helped in Nebraska if you buy Senator Beutler's corn in...from Iowa. How...that doesn't make sense to me. And not just with corn, but with any product. If there are two people producing the same product, how is the domestic producer going to be benefited by the product being purchased from a foreign producer?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, the fact of the matter is, there is a transportation charge on any of this...on grain, and we're not going to attract corn from very far away if it's available locally.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Nebraska farmers have to send their stuff as far as California to sell it.

SENATOR SCHROCK: But that's shipped by rail; it's much more economical than truck shipping. Most of the corn bought by our ethanol plants are shipped in by truck. There's very little rail consumption of corn. Senator Connealy could answer you better than I could,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...or Senator Friend.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why, is he a truck driver?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, but he...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, time, you said?