

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

production in this state, then we will probably not ship as much out of the state. But the competing markets will make for a higher price. There's one other factor that's not been talked about here, though, is, we are...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, don't take all my time, because this is my last.

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'll be brief.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR SCHROCK: We are producing more and more corn every year, and so to keep consuming the new production--and if you want some time, I'll give you one of my buttons. We keep producing more corn every year, and so we need to create new markets. And that's what this...and that's what more ethanol plants help.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Senator Schrock, now I'm going to ask you the question directly. Are you telling me that if these ethanol plants were required to use corn grown in Nebraska, corn growers in Nebraska would suffer a loss and make less than they're making now? That's what you're telling me, is that true?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, I...if you were required to just consume only Nebraska corn, it would probably help the price a little bit. But I don't think it's practical.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, I wanted to get us over that first threshold. There is a way that ethanol production can benefit the corn growers in this state. That is established. The other argument that you want to make, and others want to make, is that it is not feasible or practical to do that. Tell me where the greater benefit is to Nebraska corn growers to not be able to sell their product to these ethanol producers in Nebraska in order that corn growers in other states can sell their corn to ethanol producers in Nebraska. In case the question is not clear, how does the purchase by these ethanol plants of corn outside Nebraska benefit corn growers within Nebraska?