

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

enough, just because I am a rube, just because I'm a city slicker, just because I'm a tenderfoot, that I will believe the minuscule, by comparison, amount of corn that would be purchased from Iowa to go through ethanol plants in Nebraska is going to change the price of corn nationally?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, it suggests...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because that amount of corn...

SENATOR BAKER: ...certainly...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...is not on the market?

SENATOR BAKER: It should have some effect on it, because it's obviously using the product, the corn.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Will it be more than a penny a bushel increase in the price of corn as a result of that Iowa corn being taken off the market?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, nationally, I don't know what the figures are for the amount of ethanol...or corn that's used to provide...to make ethanol, but it's considerable. I think it...right now, it's one bushel out of every seven that Nebraska grows; and nationally, maybe it's the same. I don't know. But I have to point out, the plant out in my district is just a few miles from the Kansas border, and quite honestly, I'd...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BAKER: ...hate to penalize my friends in Kansas, say, you have to price your corn higher, some sort of a stipulation on there, before you can sell it in Nebraska. I think we'd have a legal problem too, probably.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm not saying price it higher. I don't care what the price in Iowa is; I don't care what the price in Kansas is. I'm talking about helping the corn producers in this state. Senator Baker, I have the designation State Senator, not 11th Legislative District Senator. The term "state" encompasses