

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 21, 2004 LB 479

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'm reluctant to vote for your amendment, Senator Bourne. But I'd like to have a conversation with you. I would like to see them hire Nebraska contractors and workers also. But I'm afraid that we open this up to some shenanigans. Let's just say a certain portion of a plant is being bid, and they receive two bids, or three bids, or whatever, and the one contractor who has also worked with this company in other states in similar plants is trusted, they know that they can meet the deadlines; where if the Nebraska contractor bids on it and they're concerned about whether this contractor can meet the deadlines and get the work done on time, and then if they choose the out-of-state contractor, do they end up in court? And where does that...it just seems like it muddies the water. And I'd like to have your response to that, because...

SENATOR BOURNE: Right.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...I'd like to see Nebraska workers building, Pat.

SENATOR BOURNE: I agree with you, Senator Schrock, and I believe that you would like to see that, because I think you probably want to maximize federal...or state tax dollars that go into this program. I would say, Senator Schrock, that that would come under the comparable bid language of this amendment. And by that I mean, if the...say they're bidding on piping the plant, and the ethanol producer says...you know, puts out a...he would have to...he or she would have to put out a bid, absolutely. But they could also demonstrate that they've worked with a...say, "ABC Pipe Installers" for the last 15 years, they've done 10 plants for them, they've always been on time, they've always been under budget, they've never had any labor problems, they've never had any safety problems. All those would go towards a comparable bid process. And I believe that that would give the producer of the plant latitude to select somebody other than a Nebraska contractor.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And you think they wouldn't be subjecting themselves to some litigation, then?