

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

whether they...that we're talking about being portable. I've heard that also, but from what I understand, they're not portable. They are a permanent part of this plant. But the problem is, and if it's a problem, it's debatable, but in the bill, the way the bill is written now, the amendment, they would only qualify, as Senator Connealy just told you, for the amount of production for their first 30 days. So even though they are a permanent part of the plant, they're a small part, and if that's all they're producing, that's all the credits they're going to get. And with that, I'm going to end. I want to give Senator Schrock just a couple minutes, and Senator Bourne needs some time, Senator Schrock.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, if Senator Bourne would answer a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne, would you yield?

SENATOR BOURNE: Absolutely.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Bourne, I come from an area of the state where LB 775 is not used at all, but I actually have one company in Holdrege that uses it a little. If it would happen to go on the ballot, I suspect the people in my district would vote to repeal LB 775. I'd hope that's not the case because I've always supported it. But you're concerned about workers being from Nebraska. There's been a lot of construction take place in Omaha due to LB 775 activity. Is there any requirement those workers be Nebraska workers?

SENATOR BOURNE: No, Senator Schrock, but if you'd give me a minute to expand.

SENATOR SCHROCK: You can have the rest of my time.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you. Actually, there is not a provision in LB 775 that requires Nebraska workers and, quite honestly, I've never had the opportunity to try to amend that so that it did require that. If that opportunity presents itself, Senator