

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So their current interpretation is that producing for one day is sufficient.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Not that it's sufficient but that that's how the law was written, yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Are they concerned about the question of whether you can, after people have applied or detrimentally relied upon the law, are they concerned about going back and changing the law then? Has there been any discussion of that from a legal point of view?

SENATOR CONNEALY: No, not really, because we're talking about something that's coming up...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CONNEALY: ...relatively soon that we've been discussing in legislation for over a year. People know that this bill was out here and...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Connealy, I'm sorry, your time is up. Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Senator Cudaback and members, I'm going to be brief, and then, Senator Beutler, did you want any time when I'm done? Okay. Schrock wants some. But I'll be very brief. I just want to clarify a couple points. Senator Bourne talked about the exposure to the state of Nebraska when we build these potential ten plants, and I can't speak for all of the plants, but I can speak for Plainview, and they didn't qualify for any of the other incentives besides LB 536. They didn't get LB 775 or LB 620 incentives. Now, they may be the only one, but I do want to clarify they did not get that. And then we need to also understand it depends on your perception of what exposure to the state of Nebraska is, whether you think that those two bills, LB 620 and LB 775, are exposure to the state or they're economic development tools for the state. That's up for debate in other times this year. But Plainview did not get those incentives. And then I think there is some question about these stills of