

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

fund it. This does not work with the funding part. The funding part is taken out with the Baker amendment. This works on how we end that time that plants qualify and what they have to do to do that; make sure that they are operating for a whole month, not just one day, to qualify under the time line. And then, going forward, they have to qualify on an ongoing basis for 96 months to get the \$22.5 million. If they are not in production during that time, then they aren't qualified for the whole amount. So to qualify, for a plant, needs to be about a 15 million gallon plant operating on a continuous basis for eight years straight to qualify for that. Most of these plants won't do that. A plant that is up on a temporary basis or on a beginning basis at the beginning of the program will not be able to operate continually for 96 months at capacity, so that most likely the plants will not garner the whole \$22 million under this incentive program. We currently have six plants that are operating that were built under past ethanol incentive programs, two plants that are operating now under the new LB 536 program. Plainview and Axtell are up and running. So that makes it, right now, we have eight operating ethanol plants. Sutherland is not operating. It was built under the old incentive programs and went bankrupt and then went down and is proposed to come back up, but it is not operating. We have two new plants that will come up most likely by the end date, so ten plants that will be operating under LB 536 and past ethanol incentive programs. I just thought I'd lay those numbers out to make sure that you know where we are. Once again, I'm in favor of the Bourne addition to the bill that was in the committee amendment. I am hopeful that we'll put that back in. It was a part of the bill that was a deal to get it out of committee, and I think that we ought to keep that section. So I am in favor of the reduction of the bill to a technical bill that sets the ending, which the Baker amendment does, but I am in opposition of at least to the section of it that takes out the committee amendment that requires a preference for Nebraska labor. And with that, I'd turn over the rest of my time to Senator Bourne, if I have some.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne,...

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you.