

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

the entire procedure, but there's a variety of monies putting...going into funding these plants and it is not all from a corn checkoff. There's General Fund money. There's all kinds of monies that are going in to fund this. But you're talking about an exposure to the state of Nebraska in the neighborhood of \$200 million to \$300 million over 20 years. That is not insignificant. That is a lot of money. That's why I think that we need...I do think we need to have an ethanol program. I absolutely agree with Senator Schrock, Senator Beutler, whoever else is advocating for ethanol on this floor. If you examine my voting record, I have never voted against ethanol, and I'll probably maybe continue to do so. But I do think there are some problems. There's some problems with all of our incentive programs and I feel that, given that this bill is here, I think it's an opportunity to correct a problem. I mentioned to you that there's a lobbyist going around and advocating to these producers that they bring a portable still on ground. They clear the site, I guess; they bring this thing in on wheels; they generate 8,600 gallons of ethanol product in a month and then they qualify for credits. I don't think that's appropriate. Senator Kremer talked about how they're changing, these ethanol producers are changing their application with the Department of Revenue, and they first applied for a 30 million gallon plant and now they've brought it down to 100,000 gallon plant. They don't even need, as Senator Kremer said here a few minutes ago, they don't even need a permit for 100,000 gallons. That, to me, is circumventing the statute as well. That is not what we intended in LB 536. I don't know how you stop that. I'm not sure the committee amendment goes that far. Matter of fact, this morning is the first I heard about it. But when you're talking to the potential of ten plants, \$200 million to \$300 million liability of the state, I think we need to talk about it. Senator Schrock mentioned that he has a problem. While he supports using Nebraska labor, he has a problem with putting that in statute, because there's specializes areas of construction trades that build these plants. He's absolutely right. But if you read this amendment found on page 9, or the language found on page 9 in the committee amendment, there is nothing in there that precludes an ethanol plant builder from going with a specialized person. If there's some sort of a tank or some specialized thing that churns the grain or burns the