

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

little bit then that original intent and what we might have meant, although I don't remember any specific discussions about it myself. But the language we put in had 100,000 gallons annually.

SENATOR KREMER: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And you and I might say that's really small, maybe even uneconomically small.

SENATOR KREMER: I guess the problem is what does that even say? How would you...what would you...

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, let's not get to that problem yet.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay.

SENATOR BEUTLER: We said 100,000, so whether we think that's a good size or not, if somebody had just come in and built a fixed facility that produced a 100,000, that'd be alright, even though it was small, right?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, that'd be alright, but I guess there was a little confusion of whether then anything else built after that would qualify or not. I think it's still a little bit fuzzy, do you only qualify for the amount that you're producing up to that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, that was my next question. Let's say somebody already came in, already built a fixed facility and was producing at 150,000 gallons annually. Now they want to build an addition so they can qualify for an additional number of gallons annually. What is currently happening under the law now? Has that situation happened (inaudible)?

SENATOR KREMER: Okay, I think on Senator Dierks' bill, LB 536, there was a provision in there, I think it was maybe to address some of it a little bit, that an expansion was able to qualify for seven and a half cents and there...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.