

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

in Nebraska, which would be my perspective and I think yours also,...

SENATOR KREMER: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...if it can be reasonable...if it can be done in a reasonable sort of way. If later in this session we reach agreement on some new program and then we can assume, for example, that Senator Schmit's clients, whoever they may be, that are interested in getting in under the wire here, they would be free to apply under the new program.

SENATOR KREMER: Sure.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. But if we don't reach agreement in here, if labor is upset, and for whatever reason this all falls apart, you know we have a short session and there are, unfortunately, a whole bundle of difficult issues, then there will be no new ethanol program. And then the two or three or six or however many there are that are thinking of this way of coming under the line, they will be permanently without any ethanol incentive. Would that be accurate?

SENATOR KREMER: I think that would be accurate if we would not be able to, you know, pass something to expand it or extend it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So to some extent by trying to tailor this law so that they cannot come under, we may be taking a risk that there will be six fewer ethanol plants in Nebraska. Is that a fair statement?

SENATOR KREMER: Okay, that could be but I guess I would not agree with your definition of tailoring this so they would not qualify. That's not the intention. The intention is to clarify what was really originally intended and then come up with something new which we'd need everybody's support on that and I am in favor of a new program. But that is...that's an if out there. We don't know whether that would happen or not, I agree with that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, let me go back and explore a