

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2004 LB 479

build most of the plant after that. And I am all for more ethanol plants in Nebraska, but does that answer your question then? We've just heard that there might be another six out there that are trying to do something and maybe qualify.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And by using that mechanism potentially?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So if we have those six, then we'd be...additional six, then in addition to the \$42 million we're already short, how much would we be short?

SENATOR KREMER: Well, I think the maximum of the plants that qualify is somewhere around the \$20 million, so if you take \$20 million times six you'd have \$120 million.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Maximum of a 120?

SENATOR KREMER: That'd be close. It wouldn't be exact. Is \$20 million right, Tom, or \$22.5 million, so it'd be maybe more than that, so if you'd use the full amount for the total period in the production cycle there.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And these stills that are talked about, are they temporary facilities that would be replaced then by permanent facilities?

SENATOR KREMER: I guess so. I've only heard hearsay that they have been able to do something like that. And if you'd take like I said the 100 million dollars (sic), you divide by 365, 100 million a year, divide by 365, you'd only have to produce, if we don't clarify it, 200 and some gallons. See, that wouldn't take very much, or maybe even build...say you have displaced tanks of...eight of them or something, you'd only build one in order to do this and you built most of your facility after that. It is just that it is not clear. It's a fuzzy line and we're trying to clarify it and go on from there for new incentives after that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, what I'm trying to understand is if