

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 15, 2004 LB 560

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...they lost the boat. It got away on a river and went downriver, and I suppose, depending on where it landed downriver, it would be on public or private property under these definitions, and that's how you would determine it, wherever that boat ended up. Is that more or less right?

SENATOR BAKER: That would be right. I'm not sure that would be an abandoned motorboat in that case; maybe lost. I don't know.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, how do you know whether it was abandoned or whether it was lost?

SENATOR BAKER: I don't know that. I don't know that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR BAKER: If...I would assume, there again, if we have...we have some flexibility there, I'm sure, the DMV. These are patterned after motor vehicle statutes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Motor vehicles?

SENATOR BAKER: I think they're verbatim, just substituted "motorboats" in there, and I think we're...obviously, we opened up another issue when we brought up motorboats. This applies to motor vehicles also.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. And then the other...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...the other...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne, and this will be your third time, as you know.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. To follow up on Senator Beutler's comments, the statute, as proposed in the green copy, doesn't distinguish between an abandoned or...I think a boat that's floating down the river would be lost rather