

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 15, 2004 LB 560

SENATOR BAKER: I could see either doing it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is the felony a punishment that would apply to each boat? Let's say that I was going to sell you a motorboat and it was salvage and I didn't have that notation. I eliminated it. Let's say that Senator Beutler was going to sell you three motorboats similarly situated. Would he have a felony charge brought on each of those boats, or one felony that covered the transaction?

SENATOR BAKER: I would assume he'd have three felony charges.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that what the law says? Because I'm not familiar with it.

SENATOR BAKER: Well, each...it's three separate violations. Each title is a separate violation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And does the law say that? Well, it may not recognize schemes of the kind that I'm talking about so that it would specifically mention it. Now here's what I want to do, getting back to my amendment. If we put this amount at \$50, I think we could both agree that the department would recognize some revenue beyond what it costs them to perform the service. Would you agree?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's a compromise by me, because I am willingly going along with the department deriving revenue, but just not quite as much as they might want. And that would not cause any objection on your part that I can see,...

SENATOR BAKER: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because we're getting from these companies that can really afford to pay this with no problem whatsoever.

SENATOR BAKER: I'm glad you've seen the light here. We were...yes, I would agree to that and we...we've got you moving