

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LB 353

Senator Beutler touched on what would be troubling to me in Senator Synowiecki's well-intentioned amendment. When it comes to a penalty that is grave--and this would be grave for a young person to have the license taken, whether through suspension or impoundment--to allow the judge to just say there will be no punishment, it would be better to say that there's going to be a fine, something concrete that the Legislature has declared as the minimum punishment for having committed this violation. Courts have said that the seriousness of an offense can be determined--when you're talking about how serious the Legislature viewed it--the seriousness can be determined by the minimum sentence, not the maximum. The reason for that is the following: The Legislature is saying that justice will be satisfied if you impose this minimum sentence. Let's say it's 1 year to 50, as might be the case with some Nebraska laws. Justice is served if a sentence of one year is imposed. So what the Legislature has said is that this really is a violation that is not deemed to be that serious, since a one-year sentence would satisfy justice. Anything added to that could be considered by purists as being excessive. With Senator Synowiecki's amendment, based on the way the statute is structured now and would be structured with his amendment, no punishment need be imposed at all, none. But I think the Legislature should have some minimum. I believe in giving judges discretion. But I'm also aware that in small towns there are families and individuals who are not in favor with the local constabulary or the magistrate, in other words, the cops or the judge. They might be the ones whose license would be impounded or suspended, whatever we decide, or revoked. But the elitist's child will not have anything done at all. If we're trying to impress on young people that being granted this permit is a privilege, that the privilege is not to be abused, and if you do something that constitutes an abuse of this privilege, there is a price to pay, not the death penalty, not life imprisonment, not loss of your driving hand, but something to let you know that you did what you ought not to have done based on your agreement when you took this permit, therefore this price must be paid. So it might be better to have a graduated scale of fines, and specify certain offenses for which there would be the suspension or revocation, whatever term we'll use. But I'm unaware of what is contained in the section of statute that is