

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LB 353

and would hope that we could do that.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Vrtiska, likewise. I'm sympathetic. I'm glad you brought the bill. I think it's a wise bill. But simply switching revocation and putting in impoundment I think could potentially, potentially have the same damage in terms of insurance rates and so forth for these young people as revocation, because they'd have to...if they were to fill out the renewal on their insurance, and to be honest, they would have to list that their license was removed by a court. So I'd like to give the court the entire discretion on the matter, and replace that "shall" with a "may." And perhaps we can do that between General File and Select File.

SENATOR VRTISKA: I certainly appreciate your thoughts, and follow you, certainly, in the idea of, again, giving the judges discretion to do what they feel is right. And that's why we have judges. That's their role. And my only perplexing part in the thing was the judge, the way the law was written, was not able to do...have any latitude at all. He had to, in fact, suspend that person's license for a specific period of time, and then,...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...as you indicated, had to go through the court process, through the Motor Vehicles to get their license back, and then appear on their application for insurance that their license had been suspended or revoked. So I appreciate what you're doing.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Vrtiska, thank you. I'll support the committee amendment to replace suspension with impoundment. But in addition to that, I hope that we can also arrive at an amendment to replace the "shall" with "may." Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki and Senator Vrtiska. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Vrtiska, if I may, I'm trying to get a