

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LB 353

SENATOR BAKER: No. An impoundment would provide some flexibility. Revocation is a serious...that's a harsh penalty, to revoke your license. You can't get it back. An impoundment would provide some flexibility to let that young person get their license back in, whatever the judge's judgment would be, 30 days, or 60, something like that.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Nevertheless, though, Senator Baker, isn't it true that that would be on their permanent, kind of, driving record, in terms of their driver history abstract would indeed reflect an impoundment period? It could be for as little as 10 days, or 40 days, or 60 days, but nevertheless, it would still be reflected on their driver history abstract. What I would suggest is that we simply remove the "shall" on line 6 to "may" to proceed with the committee amendment, giving the court the discretion to either impound or revoke, but in addition to that, Senator Baker, make it an entire discretion move on part of the court, and strike the "shall" and insert "may." And I'd like to get...know your comment or your position on that approach as well.

SENATOR BAKER: Well, I...you could do that if that's what you want to do, if that's what Senator Vrtiska wants. It's obviously providing more flexibility to the judge, in this case. It is Senator Vrtiska's bill. I don't see any problem with doing that if it's agreeable to Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Vrtiska, perhaps...would you have any problem with that type of an amendment?

SENATOR VRTISKA: No, I think that would add a great deal to what we're trying to do here, in fact, allowing the judge to make the discretion. As I indicated, I visited with the judge, and he was a little bit perplexed that he had to give this kind of a penalty for a very minor infraction. And if we want...you know, I appreciate you even bringing it up. I think this is probably the way to do it. Because judges are going to make...use their best judgment. And if we put the word "may" in there, then it's their discretion to follow through with the revocation or suspension or whatever they think is proper, and the length of time they might decide. So I welcome your idea,