

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LB 353

questions, if there are any. And with that, I'd ask for your consideration to advance this bill.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Mr. Clerk, do you have amendments?

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I do have committee amendments from the Transportation and Telecommunications Committee.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. The committee amendment is very simple. It harmonizes the language with the rest of the statutes. It changes the word "suspension" and it substitutes "impoundment" on line 7, and then same procedure, "suspend" and "impound," on line 13. It's just simply an amendment to harmonize the language to existing statutes. That's all it does. With that, thank you. I'd ask for adoption of the committee amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Baker. You've heard the opening on the committee amendments. Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Baker, would you yield?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Baker, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Vrtiska indicated that one of his motivations for the...behind the bill was a disproportionate punishment for these young people that may get pulled over for some rather simple matter of going two or three blocks outside of their direct route to school, and so forth. But as a practical matter, wouldn't an impoundment, in terms--and Senator Vrtiska also spoke of insurance rates and the impact that that would have on a young person's insurance rates--wouldn't an impoundment pretty much be equivalent to a revocation, in terms of the view from an insurance company?