

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LB 227

SENATOR SMITH: So anyone calling themselves a parade or a caravan or exhibition in a county area would be lawful by merely calling themselves as such. Would that be accurate?

SENATOR AGUILAR: They would still have to be issued a permit by the city, in my estimation.

SENATOR SMITH: Well, the city wouldn't have jurisdiction outside city limits. And I support the overall concept here. I just don't want any unintended consequences, whether it's more restrictive or less restrictive than the body intends.

SENATOR AGUILAR: Yeah, and I see where you're going. I'm just having a hard time imagining the scenario that you're painting here. You know, how...what type of a parade are you intimating in a county that would not be in the city?

SENATOR SMITH: Well, it...let's say that a family is moving down the country road to a different house, and your objective is to prevent those under 18 from riding in the back of the pickups. And if there's more than one, I could see them having kids in the back of the pickup...of two pickups, and they could conceivably call themselves a caravan.

SENATOR AGUILAR: I wouldn't think so, no.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay. I guess I must disagree there. And I would also ask for clarification. You exempt an employee while engaged in the necessary discharge of the employee's duties. Right?

SENATOR AGUILAR: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: So, that kind of surprises me that we would be less restrictive for a nonfamilial relationship than we would be for a familial relationship. Is that your intended outcome?

SENATOR AGUILAR: The only intention there is to not prevent people from being able to work.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.