

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LB 227

SENATOR AGUILAR: I'm not following you. Would you explain that a little better?

SENATOR SMITH: How is that specifically outlined then, with the...with that exemption?

SENATOR AGUILAR: You want me to read it from the bill for you, how it's stated?

SENATOR SMITH: No, I just want...what mechanism are you using then? Okay, thank you, I'll...

SENATOR AGUILAR: I'm not understanding what you're getting at.

SENATOR SMITH: ...take it up later. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members. Senator Aguilar, I'm all right with the bill, but I did think it might not hurt to explain a little further what you mean about necessity of duty. And I'm thinking, does that mean if...could you have a 16-year-old riding in the back holding the blanket over the piano, or so it doesn't blow away? Is that a legitimate duty in the back? I'm not clear on...you might want to flesh out what constitutes a legitimate reason for being in the back.

SENATOR AGUILAR: In that situation, I would say that if he's doing that in the form of a job, it's his occupation, he would of course be exempt.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: But...no, but say you're moving across town in Grand Island, and you have your pickup and you're moving furniture and things. Would it be legal to have a young person, let's say anywhere from 12 to 15, riding in the back, steadying the cargo?

SENATOR AGUILAR: No, it would not be legal.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. So you don't intend...so...but I'm not