

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 14, 2004 LR 4

as necessary in the beginning as I do now. And a lot of that has come from some of the news coverage we've seen of some extreme measures taken by those on the extreme ends of the political spectrum; namely, for example, the torching of an SUV dealership because one disagrees with how much fuel is consumed by an SUV. To me, that's a bit extreme. And when we see other states that are attacking the rights of hunters, trappers, and fishermen and women, I believe that LR 4CA is reasonable. I'm sorry that we can't take it up as soon as we would like, but hopefully we can address it in the future. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President, members of the body, I'm going to not support my good friend Senator Schrock at this point. I will admit, the debate has encouraged my thinking on this, particularly Senator Beutler, and to another degree of Senator Chambers, the idea that this does not belong in the constitution. But I am nervous about it, because as I...I do disagree with some of the comments Senator Chambers said this morning. We are seeing an impact. It isn't because we're dense in rural America. It's because we are starting to feel the effects of some of the emotion that is occurring nationwide. And one of the things that's happened in Illinois--and I know that it will happen in Nebraska--but you can't run your farm equipment at night. There are things that are appalling to us, and seeing some of the reactions across this country, in terms of...and there's one happen now that I think Senator Jones has in Alma, where a livestock operation, 15 miles--this is in Nebraska--a livestock operation, 15 miles, is now prohibited because of the activity...and it's held up by court. So I'm concerned about whether I'm making a mistake now of not allowing this to go into the constitution of something that we all take for granted, and I would agree it would pass probably overwhelmingly in the state of Nebraska. But things are going on, time is evolving, changes are occurring, and I'm not so sure in 25 years that this would be so obvious to the people that there ought to be a right to hunt. But at this point, I would agree that it probably should not be in the constitution, and I will vote accordingly. But I hope that I'm not making a