

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2004 LR 4

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...were being mean. I said...and not spiting me. They are demeaning and polluting the constitution. I didn't say Senator Schrock is silly. I said the amendment is silly, I said it is frivolous,...

SENATOR FRIEND: But...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I said it is tainting the constitution, and it is all those things, and others.

SENATOR FRIEND: And the final point is, I don't know that everybody else, and some of the information that we received in the hearing and through this, I don't know this Fred Morrison from Adam. But...I mean, you accepted the letter, not necessarily as expert testimony, but as this guy actually wanting to be able...you know, wanting to be able to promote something that he created.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, here's what I'm accepting.

SENATOR FRIEND: You've done the same thing on this floor.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Here's what I'm accepting.

SENATOR FRIEND: Why is that not fair?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm accepting the fact that Senator Schrock handed out a letter, and that the letter purports to be from some guy in Minnesota who had something to do with drafting it. I said I'm going to accept that as though it's the way they represent it. Because it means nothing. He has an interest in trying to make an amendment he drafted seem like it's the greatest thing in the world. So that tells you how...it tells me how much I ought to give to his opinion, in terms of accepting it. But even if you make him the greatest expert on the constitution and the people of Minnesota, it does not qualify him to say anything about the constitution of the people of Nebraska. You are qualified, though, to say that the people are Nebraska are not going to send individuals to the Legislature who will outlaw hunting, fishing, and trapping. You're an expert on that. You know that's the case, and that's