

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2004 LR 4

have certain inherent and inalienable rights; among those are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. I don't think anybody here thinks they're threatened by that, but still it's in our constitution to protect that. Section 3: No person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process. Section 4: All persons have a natural and indefensible (sic) right to worship Almighty God according to the dictates of their conscience, but no person shall be compelled to attend, erect or support any place of worship against his consent, and et cetera. This constitutional amendment we're talking about here is 32 words long. It goes in the back under Miscellaneous. I think it's appropriate that we do that, just as a lot of these things in here are not being threatened by today and may not be threatened in the future. But we, the hunters and fishermen and sportsmen of this state, and I think the vast majority of the people, would like to see this in the constitution so that nobody is going to mess with what happens in the future for hunting and fishing. I have a resolution from the Game and Parks Commissioners that's being passed out. Who understands hunting and fishing better than Game and Parks Commissioners, I ask you? There's...I think three members of that are agricultural people, and the other five are...probably represent various hunting and fishing pursuits in this state. Probably the most sought after appointment in the state is being a Game and Parks Commissioner. I have attended some of their meetings. It's not a position I aspire to be a member of, but it is valued by those people. And so I'm asking you for 32 words, probably no longer than 3 lines. Let the people of Nebraska vote. It's not going to clutter the constitution. It's no more irrelevant than a lot of things in the constitution. I'm not threatened by the antihunting, fishing and trapping people at the present time. I'm carrying this for the sportsmen and for the hunters and for the people who would like to see this in the constitution. Apparently, I don't think we're that much different than Wisconsin and Minnesota, where it was overwhelmingly voted for. If you put this on the ballot, very easily two to one will vote for it. It might even be three or four...three to one or four to one. That's all we're asking and, with that, I appreciate the time that you're giving me. I would try to answer any questions, but I think the constitution is an appropriate place for this type of language.