

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2004 LB 172

One person is offended. Here is where I could go along with that idea. If the offensive thing had been a teacher disparaging one person, that is a basis for our taking note of that. But that in and of itself would not be a basis to change the statute, unless there was a statutory authorization, which I have never seen, for that type of disparagement of a student. We're not talking about practices of individual teachers, principals, counselors, or superintendents. We are talking about a policy that the Legislature put in place, and it has been there 13 years, and there have been no outcries from parents. Senator Foley heard from a student. The World-Herald wrote an article and talked about the letter that Senator Foley read. The World-Herald said that Senator Foley read a letter of somebody who had an abortion. What it should have said, Senator Foley read a letter which he said a person wrote, and that person said she had an abortion. But for the World-Herald to declare, as though it's a fact, is misleading. First of all, I don't know who that person is. I don't know if that person really exists, and I don't know if that person had an abortion or not. But the World-Herald can conduct its business in the way that it wants to. I'm sure you all saw the little handout I gave you where they used the contraction "y-o-u-'-r-e" when the word they meant was "y-o-u-r," "your," rather than "you are." Although, as one of my colleagues said, maybe they feel you are a tax return, or whatever that other thing was in that headline. Oh, let me get it right, because some people may not see it. Here's the headline: "If you're"...y-o-u-'-r-e, "If you're tax bill's overdue, this bill's for you." Remember this, the writer of the article is not the one who writes the headline. Headline writers are witty, clever, highly intelligent people, who can grasp the core idea of an article and compress it into a headline which gives the reader an idea of what is coming next. I write rhymes, and I couldn't wait to read this article, because they were presenting something that I did not know about. It was new to my experience. And just scanning the article, I saw Senator David Landis mentioned prominently. And I knew Senator Landis was capable of cleverness, but I didn't see how he could make me a tax bill. And sure enough, what we are dealing with here is a grammatical error. Horrors, horrors. The bill that Senator Foley is offering fits into that category too. I'd like to ask Senator Foley one question.