

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2004 LB 172

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, just to share with my colleagues the information I had gotten on that other issue, there are certain legal forms that are laid out in the statute, the form that a person would utilize. So in laying out an example of that form, a date is included. And in the statutes where all of those forms are laid out, the beginning of the date is "19." So this refers to those forms that are actually laid out bodily in the statute, and not forms that are printed by various offices around the state in transacting their business. And I had seen so many updated forms that I couldn't understand why we have to do this in the statute. So that has been taken care of. It was a good bill. My questions have been answered on that. The reason I offered this motion this morning, I saw in the paper where Senator Foley guarantees that there are 33 people who are going to vote to cut off debate on this bill, and there are 33 who will carry it across the board, and that this bill will become law. It is all right for him to be that certain. And I hope all of those who are a part of that 33-vote group will find Senator Foley on the floor every time one of their bills comes up which is having some difficulty, because there are going to be a number of those bills. And in the same way that he stated his position in the paper, and I suggested mine yesterday, I will state it here. Don't get the idea that by voting to shut off debate on this bill this morning things are going to move faster. When I asked the questions to Senator Engel about that Revisor's bill, I had serious questions that I needed answered. But those Revisor bills offer an opportunity for a great deal of discussion, and the opportunity to attach amendments. Any part of the statutes that they open create an opportunity for amendments. I'm not in a hurry. And I think if you vote cloture today, you're setting a precedent. As I've always said, the only thing I need to know is how the game is to be played. I don't really care how it's played, because I can catch on and join in. But I want to know. It would be a mistake to let this bill move forward, in view of the fact that it is dealing with such a serious issue. Not just the piece of paper that we've discussed, but the fact that this bill is before us because one person, based on what Senator Foley told us yesterday, came to him and said she was offended. So that's what triggered him in bringing the bill. He said it. And he gave her name. He said she was a senior in high school.