

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2004 LB 172

SENATOR CUDABACK: Back to discussion on...did somebody say something? Yeah. Senator Landis, on the amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. We're going to find, Senator Bourne and Senator Schimek, that there isn't a middle ground here, and it comes from this point. If you think that all abortions are wrong, any information about it would be unacceptable. If it was balanced with other information, it wouldn't be good enough, because you shouldn't say the words at all. The words are wrong. It's about a procedure that's immoral, mistaken, and there is no desire here for balancing messages. It's silencing messages. This shouldn't be talked about because it's ipso facto wrong, and we shouldn't discuss it and we shouldn't put the state in the role of doing that. Now I'll tell you why I bet that's true. In fact, exactly what we've heard as far as the arguments of the proponents of this measure could be met in a very simple way. We could simply expand this mandate to say, you know what, it will cover all the other options that we want. Want to put adoption? Stick it in the mandate. You want somebody to carry to term? Put it in the mandate. Balance all of those and put notice of them all in the mandate and have the school send...give the kid all the options. We're going to find that Senator Foley wouldn't accept that as an amendment, he won't offer it as an amendment, the proponents won't take it as an amendment, and the reason is this is not about parity; it's about silence. It's about saying nothing to kids and, in fact, since you don't...since many people don't want the right to exist, acknowledging the existence of the right is a mistake. It's to further the immorality. What we should do is we should silence the public voice because we're going to say this intimate business should be between parents and children, so that parents can council their children that they're making a mistake; that, in fact, the daughter here who said, I should have trusted my parents; in fact, I broke their hearts. I'm not sure exactly, though, I'd draw the same message out of it. In fact, if it was so loving, why did she break their hearts and why was the relationship strained and why was that the gulf that it was if, in fact, they were loving and accepting? My guess is that that month shouldn't have made a difference. I draw a