

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2004 LB 172

against people based on sexual orientation. Senator Foley, because of his religious views, has a problem with that also. When he was asking me questions, I answered them, knowing what he was trying to do, which was to run out the clock. And he succeeded in doing it. Some of my colleagues asked, why would I cooperate with him in killing my bill. I pointed out that what he was doing, regardless of his motivation,--he was not insulting me, he was not name calling--is a part of the process. If I offer a bill and people want to interrogate me about the bill, I will join them and I will answer the questions. But there are certain types of discussion that I may not go along with, although, if it gets hot enough, I probably would enjoy that more than any other kind. But what I'm doing on this bill is not a surprise to Senator Foley, and it shouldn't be to anybody else. But even if it is, then I will ease your mind. Surprise. Now that that has been taken care of, for those who don't understand why I did not vote for my motion to return this bill to committee, had I done so, I could not move to reconsider. The only time you can move to reconsider is if you're on the side that wins, or if you don't vote at all. And since my intent is to extend the discussion, I had to be in a position to make another priority motion. It has been suggested that if this bill, in the form Senator Foley is offering it, were to be enacted, and we repealed everything, there is no longer any requirement that any information or forms be provided by the State Department of Education. There is nothing that requires the schools to receive this information, and there certainly is no requirement that it be distributed, and Senator Foley is confident that enough noise could be made by his similarly-believing cohorts to intimidate local schools out of providing this type of information. So it behooves me, as a member of the Legislature, to maintain intact something that I believe is in the benefit...is in the best interest and for the benefit of young people. I don't accept the notion that a young woman should go to a counselor about a situation like this as the only alternative. And you might say there are other alternatives. There is the phone book. That's a preposterous, silly proposition, and I am embarrassed that my colleagues even brought something as inane and nonsensical on the floor, something they would not even do in purchasing a car. Everybody on this floor knows that no serious attempt to hire a