

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2004 LB 172

provided. So I'm not sure that really going back to the committee, reading this carefully, would change positions. I certainly could be argued with on that. But let me go on from there. I think there is a more important point. The discussion that you have on the floor, the testimony generated and so on, the transcript generated, is of a different level than what you get in committee. And I think on this particular issue the information that comes from the discussion on the floor is critically important; to wit, we've made it clear that information is the issue here. Concerns about eliminating the requirement have, I think, been eloquently expressed by both Senator Redfield and Senator Schimek; Senator Schimek, that the information may not be available from another source, Senator Redfield that not providing this information sort of de-emphasizes the importance of the subject area here. There is also. I think, adequate represent...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...representatives of concern with keeping the requirement, namely, for school students, particularly 7th graders. This information probably for many of them is at best irrelevant. Another issue is that it seems as though this information could be interpreted as advocacy, although that's certainly something that would vary among people. The critical argument that came up though, I think, as far as I'm concerned, in the discussion on the floor was Senator Foley's argument, and that is that the aim here, in effect, increases the flexibility in providing this information. Elimination of this requirement to provide the information does not mean information about the statute cannot be provided. So, for example, if LB 172 is passed and an incest victim came to a school nurse in search of counsel, it would be appropriate for the school nurse to provide that student the information; for example, handing this piece of paper to them, without fear of any kind of recrimination. Now that was my interpretation of what Senator Foley said, and if that's the case, I'm comfortable with it. And, Senator Chambers, I think that point can only be made in floor discussion. That cannot be made or can't carry the same weight if it's made in a committee discussion. So I oppose Senator Chambers' motion. Thank you..