

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2004 LB 172

abortion. It does not advocate going behind anybody's back. It gives information about the law which is on the books, and I think it is appropriate that our young people have that information. I have never been one who feared knowledge. If the piece of paper, and by the piece of paper I'm referring to the form that the schools give to these young people to notify them of these various statutory requirements relative to obtaining a judicial waiver so that parents need not be notified that an abortion may be sought. But we all know, or should know, there is no mandate that the judge grant the waiver. And if you read the sheet that Senator Bourne gave us, you will see the types of steps that a judge may carry this young person through. I doubt that anybody, other than myself, has read these other provisions of statute that LB 6...71-6909 deal with, 71-6902, 6903, 6904. They are somewhat lengthy. They are not like reading the newspaper. So those were not read either. We don't know what's required in terms of parental notice based on the words and language of the statute. We don't know from reading the statute what the judicial waiver consists of and the steps that have to be gone through to procure this waiver. This is an emotional piece of legislation. Senator Foley frankly acknowledged that it's based on his pro-life convictions. And if he didn't have that point of view, this bill would not be before us today. People can bring legislation for whatever they want to, based on their reason or nonreason. I am not in favor of withholding information. Why do you all think that the Attorney General released that Lorelee Byrd report? Because it was information I felt the public should have and I spent a lot of time talking to, negotiating with and persuading the Attorney General that it would be best for him and the state if that report were released. It was not argued for by the leadership of the Legislature. It was not argued for by anybody else in state government. It was argued for by the man who is held in the greatest degree of contempt of any man in this state,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and that is me. And I accept whatever attitude people have toward me what I do. I thought that information should be out there, and had I not interceded, it would not be out there. Ask the Attorney General. The