

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2004 LB 172

what this doctor professes to offer, this is the doctor for me. That is not the way we conduct our affairs. We are older. We have a background or a data bank, if you will, of experience that can give us guidance. Whether or not it does is a different matter. But we don't go to the phone book to get information on these crucial issues. I don't even know how many people, when they are going to purchase a new car, go to the phone book to make a determination of which car dealer they intend to approach about purchasing a new car. Here we have young women who may be facing a very difficult situation, the most difficult, the most wrenching, stressful that may ever take place in her life and probably it is all of those things as it has never been in her life up to that point. And we tell her, go to the telephone book to find out what the law is. How many people here go to the statutes and search out what the statutes say on a matter rather than turning it over to their staff, seeking an Attorney General's Opinion, or information from the Research Division? Why are we, who know, going to place a higher standard on our young people, who don't know, than we place on ourselves? I like to bring it right home and show that we do not practice in our own lives, in our own dealings, what we are going to say our children ought to do. If we are to be an example for our children, probably not one would ever pass an examination and certainly would not graduate from school because they cannot get somebody to sit in examination for them but we can get somebody to write a statement for us and we'll read on the floor. We can have somebody do our research for us. And as a result of that slipshod, lazy tactic, many times false information is presented. I read a column by...a comment by Julie Schmit-Albin, who was talking about not having a situation where kids can go behind their parents' back to the school and get an abortion or find out how to get an abortion. And here this woman was charged with a felony for taking stolen information, it was stolen from an e-mail, and making use of it. But she chose to go into one of those diversion programs so that she would not be punished to the extent of the law for her crime. She committed a crime of dishonesty. Yet she puts on her moral cloak of self-righteousness and says what kind of information ought not be made available to these children or has the nerve to talk about going behind somebody's back. This piece of paper, I wish you would read it, does not advocate