

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 743

Senator, I... And I don't know, this would probably be a better question for Senator Brashear. I think all of them elements of becoming a chartered 501(c)(3) is laid out in the Internal Revenue Code, as far as amount of revenue brought in from solicitations, or other...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, I'm not saying limit. Let them do it however way they want to, but require them, in order to enjoy this exemption, to state, without being asked, what percentage is going to go for the cause they purport to be representing, and how much is going to be eaten up with administration.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I see I've brought Herod and Pilate together.
(Laughter)

SENATOR SNOWIECKI: I just don't know how practical that would be, Senator. I think there is established law that's in the constitution that this is protected speech. I think adoption of this amendment will be consistent with the federal guidelines that they're now in the process of implementing. More than half the states that have implemented this sort of legislation have also adopted exemptions for nonprofit organizations. And I think this, Senator Chambers, would be consistent with all them other undertakings on this kind of legislation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If one of these operations called repeatedly, and the recipient said, I don't want you to call me anymore, but they felt the Lord told them to proselytize, and they continued to call, there's nothing you could do under this exemption, is there? You'd just have to let them tie up your phone, wouldn't you?

SENATOR SNOWIECKI: Well, I think abusive behavior,...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR SNOWIECKI: ...harassing behavior, can be regulated in other areas of our statutes, Senator.