

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 743

National Do Not Call Registry cover less than state do not call laws? Coverage of the TSR is generally broader than state laws. And says, the FTC's Do Not Call Registries are at least as stringent as most states, and sometimes more. So I guess what I'm suggesting to you...and again, I realize that we have some Final Reading. We're at 6:30 on the second to the last day of the session. I'm suggesting to you that, again, this bill is not necessary.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: The federal government is establishing a National Do Not Call list. And I'll tell you, Senator Smith has said, oh, you're here at the eleventh hour and you're objecting to this. I objected to this bill...I think I was one of the very first people that spoke, clear back on General File. And at the time, Senator Baker said, well, we'll just put this over to Select and we'll work with anybody who has opposition. Now, I never was able to work with Senator Smith or Senator Baker. Now, in fairness to them, I did not seek them out to offer my input. But the next thing I know, I thought, well, you know, we'll resolve this issue and go from there. And the next thing I know, the bill is on Select File. So again, I'm continuing my objections. This is nothing new. I objected to this bill on General File. And I suggest that if anyone is upset that we're still discussing on Select File, maybe they should ask Senator Smith why there wasn't, I guess, more working with people who had expressed opposition. And that's not a criticism against Senator Smith. I realize that we're all busy. So again, I suggest to you that the federal government is going to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...handle this situation, and we do not need to do a state version.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I'm not going to use my three times to speak here. But I want to reiterate the fact that if this was an exact duplication of the