

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LR 11

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I did not mean to say that. The most important thing to me is being realistic and limiting gambling somewhat. What we do with the revenues then has to be determined.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If it were...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: But I didn't think we wanted government to become dependent upon it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There has to be some source of the money that would go into this fund, so this is strictly a theoretical, hypothetical question to try to get an answer out of you, if I can. If everything else were stripped away except this fund, would you still want that in the constitution, something of that nature?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then the fund is not more important than the rest of the material.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: No, it is not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you want the rest of your amendment without the fund?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We're working our way there. Would you agree to...your motion is up there to return this thing. Would you agree, if you succeed, to striking this, return it again and strike this fund from your amendment? Well, it's not more important than the rest of it. That answer is easy.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You want me to tell you what the answer is?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I mean if...I'm trying to find what works for the body, for more than a majority of the body, because it takes