

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 622

roll-over money, would be gaining about seven and a half million. With even the subtraction of the roll-over money, cities still would gain by over \$2 million for the biennium.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Senator Mines, do you agree with that, the \$8 million? I'm looking at...you know, what's this amendment...Senator Janssen was over here leaning on the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Mines, would you respond?

SENATOR MINES: Yes, Mr. President. Senator Hartnett, I don't dispute the numbers. But what's left out is, if you're going to have a net increase, that doesn't go to 400 communities in Nebraska that don't have sales tax.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Then you would favor the...what the amendment that Landis talked about, which would...

SENATOR MINES: You know, I think that makes...

SENATOR HARTNETT: ...put \$300,000, I think he said ...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR HARTNETT: ...back into the budget?

SENATOR MINES: If you argue it flat on one side and round on the other, I think Senator Landis is somewhere in the middle. But I think what Senator Raikes' amendment originally does is it shifts too much of the burden back to those small cities that have nowhere to go. They're up against that 45-cent limit.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: I'll give the time back, whatever I've got left.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Mines, your light is next. You may continue.