

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 48

for Rural Development Commissions there is a provision that says you need the federal representation on it. So I guess I'm thinking this may not be the time and the place to make these kinds of changes and I will just withdraw my amendment, and then we will go back to the original Chambers amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: I have nothing further pending to Senator Chambers' amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: We're now discussing the Chambers amendment, AM2081, which is an amendment to LB 48. To continue with the discussion, Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President. I give a couple minutes of my time to Senator Baker.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Mr. President, I would ask for a ruling on germaneness of this amendment to the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: We'll stand at ease for a few seconds. Senator Baker, would you inform the body why you think this particular amendment is not germane?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, I think it's fairly obvious. The original bill came out of Judiciary Committee, Senator Brashear's bill dealing with a whole different topic. To be honest with you, I can't quote the chapter, I think Chapter 25 of the statutes, and this, I don't know where this would fall, but obviously two totally, totally different subjects.

SENATOR CUDABACK: That's your answer?

SENATOR BAKER: That's, yes, we're talking two completely different subjects, different chapters of statutes. I don't know that this has had a hearing, anything like this. We did have the bill, of course, that removed them from statutes. This