

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 439A

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...DAS itself, for example, the central part of this bill, was bringing in the State Patrol to do the services, security in the Capitol. Well, it's the same principle. They're not going to pay more for security necessarily. I don't think there was any fiscal note on this bill to begin with. They were reallocating resources to the State Patrol to do the job for the same amount of money. This is the same kind of principle. And to the extent that there are any significant additional costs, I would suggest that almost all of those come from an assumption that DAS is not going to cooperate in making this agreement. But I think once this is done, they will in fact do that, because that's in the best interest of the state to keep everything at its lowest cost. This is a matter of what's in people's minds, in my opinion, and assumptions with regard to the level of cooperation. That would be my response.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR ENGEL: Thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Engel. Senator Beutler, on the Wehrbein amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I don't have additional information, now that I've had the opportunity to respond to Senator Engel, but I feel rather strongly that this is an inflated suggestion, \$230,000. If Senator Wehrbein had said 40 or 50 thousand dollars, that's within the realm of reason. But \$230,000 assumes that there's going to be a kind...a level of noncooperation from DAS that I think should be unacceptable to all of us. But I'd be glad to try to answer additional questions, and abide by your judgment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Bromm, on the Wehrbein amendment to the Hudkins-Beutler amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. And I'm not standing to support or oppose this amendment. I...my inclination would be, if I had to take a position, would be to oppose it, because I simply don't want to expend General Fund money to make this