

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 27, 2003

LB 48, 759

teachers, a third of the time or half of the time per teacher, and just to be able to preserve the school. And it is very, very tough out there. And I would tell you that I, you know, I read Senator Jensen's sheet that he handed out on schools and I believe an awful lot of that, that's on the sheet, but it doesn't tell you that in Nebraska we have higher ACT scores and higher standardized test scores. We have higher graduation rates, and especially in rural Nebraska we have higher graduation rates. So I think it's important that we preserve those schools, and not only for the benefit of education. They're great schools. But we're to the place in our history now, because of technology, we can do things in rural Nebraska that weren't...it was not available to us before. And if we get rid of those schools at this point in time, you can forget economic development out there. It is never going to happen. We're coming up with LB 48, the Rural Development Commission. It doesn't matter how much money we throw into rural development. If we've lost the schools we've lost it all. So that's my number one reason for supporting tax increases this year. Now I, like many others, believe that there's a lot of cutting that can be done. We have a long ways to go. With higher ed and the university system in particular, I'm supporting the lower cuts to them this year, but I'm on record with them, and most of you or a lot of you probably will tell me I'm crazy, but I don't believe they can take huge cuts the way they're trying to cut vertically. But I believe horizontally, with tenured faculty, there's a lot of room for cuts. There's a lot of waste in the system, and I'm on record with them as saying next year, if somebody doesn't go in and try to get that waste, I won't be supporting the university next year, and now I'm saying it publicly. I've said it to them. I'm saying it to you. It's out there. Somebody better try to get at that waste because we need to cut spending. Comes to corrections, I don't like doing what we had to do with Senator Pedersen's amendment, but I don't think it's dangerous either, and it's amazed me. It has absolutely amazed me at the letters and e-mails that I've seen that have done to me and have come to other people that they start out by telling us how crazy we are for eliminating that prison, and then they go on to about how you've got to cut, cut, cut, cut; you can't raise taxes; you've got to cut and you've got to...you've got to listen to us. Well, it appears to