

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 27, 2003

LB 283, 759

exactly the same amounts as we took it away previously. So of that \$108 million of federal funds, \$60 million would go back to stimulate the economy to the education system, and those people and those programs will be out there buying gas, buying groceries. They stimulate the economy just in the same way that a tax cut would. So if you want to use the money under an expenditure theory to prime the economy, this amendment is a way to do that. Now my second amendment is the alternative and it's to prime the economy by lessening the tax increases that we have in LB 759, and what it would say is that you take \$68 million of that federal money, \$68 million of \$108, and instead of the income tax increase in LB 759 you have no income tax increase. You take away the income tax increase and you substitute \$68 million in federal funds. Does it go beyond two years? No, the federal funds don't go beyond two years. But for now, that's the whole theory of the federal funds. It's to, short-term, prime the pump either by cutting taxes or by increasing expenditures so that the economy is regenerated and the growth in the economy then takes care of additional problems in the future. That's the theory and oftentimes in the past it's worked in fact, and I think most people agree that it does work. And it seems to me that since we were given the money for that purpose and since we now have the opportunity to use it for that purpose, that we ought to make one of those two choices and, in fact, use at least half the money, which is roughly what I'm suggesting, for that purpose and leave the other half in the Reserve Fund. Then you would have enough in the Reserve Fund still for the low-level problem, if that's your concern, and you will have spent at least half the money to stimulate the economy by means of one amendment or the other. That, I think, is the discussion that we need to have and I would...and I would put that to you. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. You've heard the opening on AM2086, offered by Senator Beutler to LB 283. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I find it wholly fitting that the federal government is going to send some money our way for one purpose, and that is the low-level radioactive waste site, because in fact if you