

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 27, 2003

LB 283, 285, 379, 759

disincentive for that. The last thing I want to do is to provide a disincentive for a young family to come into my district with...one appealing factor of the housing market is you can come in, buy an economically priced home and fix it up and that is done routinely in my area. So I am not going to support the bracket motion. I want to, as much as possible, keep this alternative package alive and well, which includes LB 283, LB 285, and LB 379. Them three bills combined equal the amount of revenue in LB 759 without, and I emphasize, without the tax on reconstruction labor. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Raikes, there are no further lights on. You're recognized to close on your bracket motion.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Again, this is a bracket motion to delay this discussion for one day, until tomorrow. Any hint that somehow that is going to end this bill, that it couldn't happen or that any of the amendments couldn't happen is simply not correct. This simply puts the discussion off one day, until we have made our decision, cast our votes on the overrides. The point was made, gosh, we may have some people fall off the overrides if we don't have something done on LB 283. We may have some people fall off the overrides if we do something on LB 283. Some people may say, well, gee, I'm not going to vote for any kind of tax increase unless it's sunsetted after four years. Others, I haven't talked to any but there may be other who say, look, I thought I was voting for a fiscal solution here and if it looks like, with a sunset, that's sort of made phony, I'm all of a sudden not for the override. So I think that's a very difficult thing to call. The point is, if we have this discussion tomorrow, then we can have the discussion on the merits. We don't need to worry about somebody voting for or against an override. We can simply have the discussion on the merits. Is a four-year sunset a good idea state policywise, or isn't it? Is the treatment of the \$108 million, should it be different than what is now proposed or not? Those discussions can be had on the merits. We need to make the decision today on the basis of our positions, what we've done, what we believe and so on. We go ahead and make that decision. Then we can continue these discussions tomorrow.