

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 22, 2003

LB 270

committee process and then we get to Exec Session and we're seeing an amendment before us that has the phrase "sexual orientation." So I talked to some people associated with a drug court and I said, has there been some difficulty in this area; are people being denied access to drug court? If so, I want to know about it. And the people that I spoke to, who have some familiarity with drug courts, said, no, we've never heard of any problem; we encourage people to get in drug court, all people who have that need. So I'm just...I think I'm trying to clarify the record because I think it's been muddied here a little bit, and hopefully I've got it straight, but I think...and I think I do. And I believe that that would have been a difficulty. It may very well have been a difficulty for that bill to insert that term, and I was a supporter of the bill. I was last year and continue to be this year. That bill is out of committee. I...I know Senator Aguilar hasn't put it on the floor, but I would hope that he could work some things out with those who continue to oppose the drug court and we can get that bill passed. So I'm trying to be as honest as I can about how I think that drug court bill played out politically. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Foley. Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members, I believe that it is our responsibility that laws that are on the books that were validly approved by this body are in a form that is technically and procedurally correct. We had a special session that dealt with that and we made the technical procedural changes necessary to have the death penalty law that had been approved by a previous Legislature be technically and procedurally correct. And at that time I, though a supporter of the underlying issue, had grave reservations about us entering into that special session because of timing. But I came to believe that it was one of the finest moments of this Legislature and it was fine for the reasons that two of the individuals who are pushing this, one of whom supports the death penalty, one of whom doesn't, both worked together with the Judiciary Committee to craft something that was legally, technically, procedurally right. And it was one of the finest moments because Senator Chambers, who opposes the death penalty, so strongly believes in the work of this body